arXiv:1407.3385v1 [math.PR] 12 Jul 2014

Birth and death process with one-side bounded jumps in random environment*

Hua-Ming WANG
Department of Mathematics, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241003, China
E-mail : hmking@mail.ahnu.edu.cn

Abstract

Let $\omega = (\omega_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} = (\mu_i^L, ..., \mu_i^1, \lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, which serves as the environment, be a sequence of i.i.d. random nonnegative vectors, with $L \geq 1$ a positive integer. We study birth and death process N_t which, given the environment ω, waits at a state n an exponentially distributed time with parameter $\lambda_n + \sum_{l=1}^L \mu_n^l$ and then jumps to $n-i$ with probability $\mu_n^i/(\lambda_n + \sum_{l=1}^L \mu_n^l)$, $i = 1, ..., L$ or to $n+1$ with probability $\lambda_n/(\lambda_n + \sum_{l=1}^L \mu_n^l)$. A sufficient condition for the existence, a criterion for recurrence, and a law of large numbers of the process N_t are presented. We show that the first passage time $T_1 \overset{\mathscr{D}}{=} \xi_{0,1} + \sum_{i\leq -1} \sum_{k=1}^{U_{i,1}} \xi_{i,k} + \sum_{i\leq -1} \sum_{k=1}^{U_{i,1}+...+U_{i,L}} \tilde{\xi}_{i+1,k}$, where $(U_{i,1}, ..., U_{i,L})_{i\leq 0}$ is an L-type branching process in random environment and, given ω, $\xi_{i,k}$, $\tilde{\xi}_{i,k}$, $i \leq 0$, $k \geq 1$ are mutually independent random variables such that $P_\omega(\xi_{i,k} \geq t) = e^{-(\lambda_i + \sum_{l=1}^L \mu_i^l)t}$, $t \geq 0$. This fact enables us to give an explicit velocity of the law of large numbers.
Keywords: birth and death process; random environment; the first passage time; branching structure.
MSC 2010: 60K37; 60J80

1 Introduction

1.1 Model and background

The aim of this paper is to study the birth and death process with one-side bounded jumps in random environment. To construct the environment, fix $1 \leq L \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let Ω be the collection of $\omega = (\omega_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} = (\mu_i^L, ..., \mu_i^1, \lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\lambda_i, \mu_i^l \geq 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l = 1, .., L$. Equip Ω with the Borel σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}$ and let $\mathbb{P}$ be a probability measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ which makes $(\omega_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors. Then the so-called random environment is a random element of Ω chosen according to $\mathbb{P}$. Given a realization of ω, let N_t be a continuous time Markov chain, which waits at a state n an exponentially distributed time with parameter $\lambda_n + \sum_{l=1}^L \mu_n^l$ and then jumps to $n-i$ with probability $\mu_n^i/(\lambda_n + \sum_{l=1}^L \mu_n^l)$, $i = 1, ..., L$ or to $n+1$ with probability

*Supported by National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11226199) and Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Educational Committee (Grant No. KJ2014A085)

$\lambda_n/(\lambda_n + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mu_n^l)$. We call the process N_t a *Birth and Death Process in Random Environment* (BDPRE hereafter) with bounded jumps.

Such a process is the continuous time analogue of a special case of *Random Walk in Random Environment* (RWRE hereafter) with bounded jumps which was introduced in Key [7] and further developed in Letchikov [8, 9], Bremont [1, 2], Hong and Zhang [6], Hong and Wang [4, 5] etc.

The nearest neighbour setting ($L = 1$) was studied in Ritter [11], where the existence, the criteria for recurrence, and the law of large numbers (LLN hereafter) of the process were studied. The work of Ritter [11] could be carried out because the birth and death process (with jump size exactly one) was well developed. However, for birth and death process with bounded jumps, in the literatures we are aware of, few result was known. Therefore, to study BDPRE with bounded jumps, one needs to study the behaviors of birth and death process with bounded jumps.

In this paper, by a classical argument of the existence and uniqueness of the Q-process, we give a sufficient condition which ensures the existence of BDPRE with bounded jumps. Then criterion for recurrence of the process N_t is presented, which depends on the counterpart of RWRE with bounded jumps. Finally, we prove the LLN of N_t.

In order to figure out the explicit asymptotic velocity of LLN, we study the first passage time $T_1 := \inf[t > 0 : N_t > 0]$ of N_t. The idea is as follows. By looking at only the discontinuities of N_t, we get its embedded process χ_n, which is a discrete time RWRE with bounded jumps. By the branching structure of χ_n derived in [4], one could use a multitype branching process in random environment to count exactly how many times N_t has ever visited state i before T_1. But every time it visits i, it would wait here an exponentially distributed time period. In this way, we could decompose T_1 and consequently give the explicit velocity for the LLN.

1.2 Main results

For a typical realization of ω, P_ω denotes the law induced by the process N_t starting from 0. The measure P_ω is usually related as the *quenched* probability. The so-called *annealed* probability P is defined by $P(\cdot) = \int_\Omega P_\omega(\cdot)\mathbb{P}(d\omega)$. The notations E_ω, E and $\mathbb{E}$ will be used to denote the expectation operators with respect to P_ω, P and $\mathbb{P}$ respectively.

Set for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$q_{ij} = \begin{cases} \lambda_i, & \text{if } j = i+1; \\ \mu_i^l, & \text{if } j = i-l,\ l = 1, ..., L; \\ -\big(\lambda_i + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mu_i^l\big), & \text{if } j = i; \\ 0, & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

and let $Q = (q_{ij})$ which is obviously a conservative Q-matrix.

Given ω, consider the conservative Q-matrix $Q = (q_{ij})$. One follows from classical argument that there exists at least one transition matrix $(\overline{p}_{ij}(t))$ such that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\overline{p}_{ij}(t) - \delta_{ij}}{t} = q_{ij},\ i, j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{1}$$

Net we give a sufficient condition to ensure such $(\overline{p}_{ij}(t))$ to be unique.

(C1) $\mathbb{P}\left(\lambda_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mu_0^l > 0\right) = 1$;

(C2) $\mathbb{P}\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lambda_n+\sum_{n=1}^{L}\mu_n^l}=\infty,\ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{0}\frac{1}{\max_{1\le k\le L}\{\lambda_{nL-k}+\sum_{l=1}^{L}\mu_{nL-k}^l\}}=\infty\Big)=1.$

Proposition 1 (Existence of N_t)**.** *Suppose that conditions (C1) and (C2) are satisfied. Then, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., there is only one transition matrix $(\overline{p}_{ij}(t))$ which solves (1).*

Proposition 1 says that under conditions (C1) and (C2), $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., the Q-process N_t exists. Next we give criteria for the recurrence and transience of N_t. Introduce matrices

$$M_i=\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mu_i^1}{\lambda_i} & \dots & \frac{\mu_i^{L-1}}{\lambda_i} & \frac{\mu_i^L}{\lambda_i} \\ 1+\frac{\mu_i^1}{\lambda_i} & \dots & \frac{\mu_i^{L-1}}{\lambda_i} & \frac{\mu_i^L}{\lambda_i} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\mu_i^1}{\lambda_i} & \dots & 1+\frac{\mu_i^{L-1}}{\lambda_i} & \frac{\mu_i^L}{\lambda_i} \end{pmatrix},\ i\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

To study the asymptotic behaviour of $M_0M_1\cdots M_n$ we need condition

(C3) $\mathbb{E}\ln\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0+\sum_{l=1}^{L}\mu_0^l}>-\infty, \mathbb{E}\ln\frac{\mu_0^L}{\lambda_0+\sum_{l=1}^{L}\mu_0^l}>-\infty.$

Since M_i depends only on ω_i, $(M_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random matrices under $\mathbb{P}$. Under condition (C3), $\mathbb{E}|\ln\|M_0^{-1}\||+\mathbb{E}|\ln\|M_0\||<\infty$. Hence one could use Oseledec's multiplicative ergodic theorem (see [10]) to the sequence $(M_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Consequently, we get the Lyapunov exponents of the sequence $(M_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ which we write in increasing order as

$$-\infty<\gamma_1\le\gamma_2\le\dots\le\gamma_L<\infty.$$

Theorem 1 (Recurrence criteria)**.** *Suppose that conditions (C1-C3) are all satisfied. Let $\gamma_1\le\gamma_2\le\dots\le\gamma_L$ be the Lyapunov exponents of the sequence $(M_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Then*
$\gamma_L<0\Rightarrow P(\lim_{t\to\infty}N_t=\infty)=1;$
$\gamma_L=0\Rightarrow P(-\infty=\liminf_{t\to\infty}N_t<\limsup_{t\to\infty}N_t=\infty)=1;$
$\gamma_L>0\Rightarrow P(\lim_{t\to\infty}N_t=-\infty)=1.$

Next we study the asymptotic velocity of the process N_t. Let $T_0=0$ and define recursively

$$T_n=\inf\{t>0:N_t=n\}$$

for $n\ge1$. T_n is the first passage time of n by the process N_t.

By Theorem 1, if $\gamma_L\le0$, N_t is either transient to the right or recurrent. We have

Theorem 2 (Decomposition of T_1)**.** *Suppose that conditions (C1-C3) are all satisfied and $\gamma_L\le0$. Then $P(T_1<\infty)=1$ and*

$$T_1\overset{\mathscr{D}}{=}\xi_{0,1}+\sum_{i\le-1}\sum_{k=1}^{U_{i,1}}\xi_{i,k}+\sum_{i\le-1}\sum_{k=1}^{U_{i,1}+\dots+U_{i,L}}\tilde{\xi}_{i+1,k},$$

where "$\overset{\mathscr{D}}{=}$" means "equal in distribution", $(U_i)_{i\le0}$ is an L-type branching process in random environment whose offspring distributions are given as (8) and (9) below, and given ω,

$\xi_{i,k},\ \tilde{\xi}_{i,k},\ i \le 0,\ k \ge 1$ are mutually independent random variables, which are also all independent of $(U_i)_{i\le 0}$, such that $P(\xi_{i,k} \ge t) = e^{-\left(\lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^{L} \mu_i^l\right)t},\ t \ge 0$. Moreover, with empty product being identity,

$$E_\omega T_1 = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \mathbf{e}_1 M_0 M_{-1} \cdots M_{i+1} \mathbf{1} \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{1} = (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + ... + \mathbf{e}_L)^T$, and for $1 \le i \le L$, $\mathbf{e}_i$ is a row vector with the ith component 1 *and all other components* 0.

Remark 1. To proof Theorem 2, the idea is as follows. By the branching structure for (L,1) RWRE set up in [4], one could count exactly how many times N_t has ever visited i before T_1. Every time it visits i, it will wait here for an exponentially distributed time period. By this approach, we could decompose T_1 and study its distribution. $(ET_1)^{-1}$ serves as the asymptotic velocity of N_t.

Define $S(\omega) := \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{e}_1 M_1 M_2 \cdots M_n \mathbf{1}$.

Theorem 3 (LLN of N_t)**.** *Suppose that conditions (C1-C3) are all satisfied and $\gamma_L \le 0$. Then*
(a) $\mathbb{E}S(\omega) < \infty \Rightarrow \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{N_t}{t} = (ES(\omega))^{-1}$, P-a.s.;
(b) $\mathbb{E}S(\omega) = \infty \Rightarrow \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{N_t}{t} = 0$, P-a.s..

The left part of the paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we study the existence of the process N_t and give its recurrence/transience criteria. Then in Section 3, we give the proof of the LLN and study the distribution of the ladder time T_1.

2 The existence and the recurrence criteria of N_t

2.1 The existence-Proof of Proposition 1

Given ω, from the classical argument of continuous time Markov chain, Q-transitional probability matrix always exits. Let $(\overline{p}_{ij}(t))$ be a transition matrix which solves (1) and let $(Y_t)_{t\ge 0}$ be the Q-process with transition matrix $(\overline{p}_{ij}(t))$. Let $\tau_0 = 0$ and define recursively for $n \ge 1$, $\tau_n = \inf\{t \ge \tau_{n-1} : Y_t \ne Y_{\tau_{n-1}}\}$ where we use the convention $\inf \phi = \infty$. Then $\tau_n, n = 1, 2, ...$ are consecutive time of discontinuities of $(Y_t)_{t\ge 0}$.

Conditioned on $\{\tau_{n-1} < \infty\}$ and $\{Y_{\tau_{n-1}} = j\}$, if $q_j := -q_{jj} = \lambda_j + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mu_j^l > 0$, then $\tau_n - \tau_{n-1}$ has exponential distribution with parameter q_j. Therefore we have that $\tau_n < \infty$. Consequently (C1) implies that for all n, P-a.s.,

$$0 = \tau_1 < \tau_2 < ... < \tau_n < \infty.$$

Let $\chi_n = Y_{\tau_n}$. Then $(\chi_n)_{n\ge 0}$ forms a discrete time Markov chain with transition matrix (r_{ij}) whose entries

$$r_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mu_i^l}, & j = i+1 \\ \frac{\mu_i^l}{\lambda_i + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mu_i^l}, & j = i-l, l = 1, .., L \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

If

$$P\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_{\chi_n}^{-1} = \infty\Big) = 1, \tag{4}$$

then we have (see Chung [3], Theorem 1 in II.19) that $P(\lim_{n\to\infty}\tau_n=\infty)=1$, which implies the $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. uniqueness of $(\overline{p}_{ij}(t))$. Next we show that (C2) implies (4). In fact, if the process $(\chi_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is recurrent or transient to the right, then P-a.s.,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_{\chi_n}^{-1} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n + \sum_{l=1}^{L}\mu_n^l} = \infty.$$

Else if the process $(\chi_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is transient to the left, it must visit at least one state of each of the sets $A_n := \{nL-k\}_{k=1}^{L}, n=0,-1,-2,....$ It follows that P-a.s.,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_{\chi_n}^{-1} \geq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{0} \frac{1}{\max_{1\leq k\leq L}\{\lambda_{nL-k} + \sum_{l=1}^{L}\mu_{nL-k}^l\}} = \infty.$$

Consequently (4) follows. □

2.2 Recurrence criteria-Proof of Theorem 1

Under conditions (C1) and (C2), it follows from Proposition 1 that the BDPRE with bounded jumps N_t exists. Let $\tau_0=0,\ \tau_n, n\geq 1$ be the consecutive discontinuities of N_t. Set $\chi_n = N_{\tau_n}$. Given ω, χ_n is a discrete time random walk with transition probabilities r_{ij} defined in (3). χ_n is also known as the embedded process of N_t. Note that under probability P, N_t and χ_n have the same recurrence criteria. Thus Theorem 1 follows from the following theorem which is a corollary of Theorem A in Letchikov [9].

Theorem 4. *Suppose that conditions (C1-C3) are all satisfied. Let $\gamma_1\leq\gamma_2\leq ...\leq\gamma_L$ be the Lyapunov exponents of the sequence $(M_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Then*
$\gamma_L<0 \Rightarrow P(\lim_{n\to\infty}\chi_n=\infty)=1;$
$\gamma_L=0 \Rightarrow P(-\infty=\liminf_{n\to\infty}\chi_n<\limsup_{t\to\infty}\chi_n=\infty)=1;$
$\gamma_L>0 \Rightarrow P(\lim_{n\to\infty}\chi_n=-\infty)=1.$

Proof. For $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, let $a_i(k)=\frac{\sum_{l=k}^{L}\mu_i^l}{\lambda_i}$, $k=1,...,L$, $b_i(1)=\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i^L}$ and $b_i(k)=\frac{\sum_{l=k-1}^{L}\mu_i^l}{\mu_i^L}$, $k=2,...,L$. Introduce matrices

$$B_i=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ b_i(1) & -b_i(2) & \cdots & -b_i(L) \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } B_i^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix} a_i(1) & \cdots & a_i(L-1) & a_i(L) \\ 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Since B_i depends only on ω_i, $(B_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random matrices under $\mathbb{P}$. Under condition (C3) we have that

$$\mathbb{E}|\log\|B_i\||<\infty,\ \mathbb{E}|\log\|B_i^{-1}\||<\infty.$$

Therefore we can use Oseledec's multiplicative ergodic theorem to get the Lyapunov exponents $(B_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ which we write in increasing order as

$$-\infty<\zeta_1(B)\leq\zeta_2(B)\leq ...\leq\zeta_L(B)<\infty.$$

And those Lyapunov exponents for $(B_i^{-1})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are

$$-\infty < -\zeta_L(B) \le -\zeta_{L-1}(B)... \le -\zeta_1(B) < \infty.$$

In Theorem A of Letchikov [9], the author showed that P-a.s., χ_n is transient to the right, recurrent or transient to the left according as $\zeta_1(B) > 0$, $\zeta_1(B) = 0$ or $\zeta_1(B) < 0$. Therefore, if we can show that $\gamma_L = -\zeta_1(B)$, then Theorem 4 follows. Indeed, since, for $n \ge L$, all entries of the product $B_1^{-1}B_2^{-1}\cdots B_n^{-1}$ are strictly positive, we have that, as the top Lyapunov exponent of $(B_i^{-1})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$-\zeta_1(B) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\log\|B_1^{-1}\cdots B_n^{-1}\|. \tag{6}$$

Let

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \Lambda^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ -1 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have that

$$B_1^{-1}\cdots B_n^{-1} = \Lambda^{-1}M_1\cdots M_n\Lambda.$$

Substituting to (6), it follows that,

$$-\zeta_1(B) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\log\|\Lambda^{-1}M_1\cdots M_n\Lambda\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\log\|M_1\cdots M_n\| = \gamma_L,$$

where the last equality holds because all entries of the products $M_1\cdots M_n$ are strictly positive. Then Theorem 4 is proved. □

3 LLN and the first passage time

3.1 The first passage time-Proof of Theorem 2:

Suppose that conditions (C1) and (C2) hold. Then for $\mathbb{P}$-a.a. ω there exists a unique standard transition matrix $(p_{ij}(t))$ which solves (1). Let $(N_t)_{t\ge0}$ be a continuous time Markov chain with standard transition matrices $(p_{ij}(t))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &P_\omega(N_{t+h} = i+1 | N_t = i) = \lambda_i h + o(h);\\ &P_\omega(N_{t+h} = i-l | N_t = i) = \mu_l h + o(h),\ l = 1,2,...,L;\\ &P_\omega(N_{t+h} = i | N_t = i) = 1 - \Big(\lambda_i h + \sum_{l=1}^{L}\mu_l h\Big) + o(h). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Let $\tau_0 = 0$, and $\tau_n = \inf\{t \ge \tau_{n-1} : N_t \ne \tau_{n-1}\}$ for $n \ge 1$. Set $\chi_n := N_{\tau_n}$. Then $(\chi_n)_{n\ge0}$ forms a discrete time Markov chain with transition matrix (r_{ij}) defined in (3).

For $n \ge 0$, define $T_n = \inf\{t \ge 0 : N_t = n\}$, being the first passage time of state n by N_t. Next we study the distribution and the mean of T_1.

If $\gamma_L \le 0$, then by Theorem 1, P-a.s., both N_t and χ_n are either recurrent or transient to the right. One follows that $P(T_1 < \infty) = 1$. Considering χ_n, let $\overline{T}_1 = \inf\{k > 0 : \chi_k = 1\}$. Then $P(\overline{T}_1 < \infty) = 1$. Set $U_0 = \mathbf{e}_1$, and define, for $-\infty < i < 0$, $1 \le l \le L$,

$$U_{i,l} = \#\{0 < k < \overline{T}_1 : \chi_{k-1} > i, \chi_k = i - l + 1\}$$

and set

$$U_i := (U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}, \cdots, U_{i,L}).$$

Here and throughout, "#{ }" denotes the number of elements in set "{ }". Note that $U_{i,1}$ is the total number of steps by χ_n which jumps downwards from some state above i to i before $\overline{T}_1$ and $U_{i,2} + ... + U_{i,L}$ is the total number of steps by χ_n which cross i downwards before $\overline{T}_1$. Since $\tau_n, n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ are consecutive discontinuities of N_t, the total number of negative jumps of N_t which reach i equals to $U_{i,1}$ and the total number of negative jumps of N_t which cross i downwards before T_1 equals to $U_{i,2} + ... + U_{i,L}$.

Suppose that a particle moves along the path of N_t. Firstly, the particle starts from 0 and it stays at 0 for a time period $\xi_{0,1}$ with $P(\xi_{0,1} \geq t) = e^{-\left(\lambda_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mu_0^l\right)t},\ t \geq 0$.

Secondly we consider the waiting time caused by the negative jumps before T_1. After the $k(\geq 1)$-th visit of i by a negative jump, the particle will stay at i with a random time $\xi_{i,k}$ with $P(\xi_{i,k} \geq t) = e^{-\left(\lambda_i + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mu_i^l\right)t},\ t \geq 0$. Then the total amount of time the particle stays at i caused by those negative jumps which reach i downwards before T_1 is $\sum_{k=1}^{U_{i,1}} \xi_{i,k}$. The total amount of time that the particle stays at the negative half lattice caused by those negative jumps before T_1 equals to $\sum_{i \leq -1} \sum_{k=1}^{U_{i,1}} \xi_{i,k}$. By the strong Markov property, $\xi_{i,k},\ i \leq 0,\ k \geq 1$ are mutually independent and $U_i, \xi_{i,k}, k \geq 1$ are also mutually independent.

Thirdly, we consider the waiting time caused by the positive jumps before T_1. Since $N_{T_1} = 1$, and the positive jumps are nearest neighbor, once the particle takes a negative jump downwards from some state above i to i or across i, it has to take a positive jump from i to $i+1$ in order to reach the state 1 finally. In this point of view, we have that the number of jumps of the particle before T_1 from i to $i+1$ equals to $U_{i,1} + ... + U_{i,L}$. After the $k(\geq 1)$-th visit of $i+1$ by a positive jump, the particle will stay at $i+1$ with a random time $\tilde{\xi}_{i+1,k}$ with $P(\tilde{\xi}_{i+1,k} \geq t) = e^{-\left(\lambda_{i+1} + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mu_{i+1}^l\right)t},\ t \geq 0$. Then the total amount of time the particle stays at $i+1$ caused by those jumps from i to $i+1$ before T_1 is $\sum_{k=1}^{U_{i,1}+...+U_{i,L}} \tilde{\xi}_{i+1,k}$. The total amount of time the particle stays at the negative half lattice caused by those positive jumps before T_1 equals to $\sum_{i \leq -1} \sum_{k=1}^{U_{i,1}+...+U_{i,L}} \tilde{\xi}_{i+1,k}$. By the strong Markov property, $\xi_{i,k},\ \tilde{\xi}_{i,k},\ i \leq 0,\ k \geq 1$ are mutually independent and they are all independent of U_i.

The above discussion yields that

$$T_1 \stackrel{\mathscr{D}}{=} \xi_{0,1} + \sum_{i \leq -1} \sum_{k=1}^{U_{i,1}} \xi_{i,k} + \sum_{i \leq -1} \sum_{i=1}^{U_{i,1}+...+U_{i,L}} \tilde{\xi}_{i+1,k}.$$

On the other hand, in Hong and Wang [4], Theorem 1.1, the authors showed that $(U_n)_{n \leq 0}$ forms a multitype branching process with offspring distribution

$$\begin{aligned} &P_\omega(U_{i-1} = (u_1, ..., u_L) \big| U_i = \mathbf{e}_1) \\ &\quad = \frac{(u_1 + ... + u_L)!}{u_1! \cdots u_L!} \left(\frac{\mu_i^1}{\lambda_i + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mu_i^l}\right)^{u_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\mu_i^L}{\lambda_i + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mu_i^l}\right)^{u_L} \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mu_i^l}\right), \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

and for $2 \leq l \leq L$,

$$P_\omega\left(U_{i-1} = (u_1, ..., 1 + u_{l-1}, ..., u_L) \big| U_i = \mathbf{e}_l\right)$$

$$= \frac{(u_1+...+u_L)!}{u_1!\cdots u_L!}\left(\frac{\mu_i^1}{\lambda_i+\sum_{l=1}^L\mu_i^l}\right)^{u_1}\cdots\left(\frac{\mu_i^L}{\lambda_i+\sum_{l=1}^L\mu_i^l}\right)^{u_L}\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i+\sum_{l=1}^L\mu_i^l}\right). \quad (9)$$

Therefore the first part of the theorem follows.

Next we prove the second part of the theorem. One calculates from (8) and (9) that for $n \le -1$, $E_\omega(U_n) = M_0M_{-1}\cdots M_{n+1}$. By Ward equation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E_\omega T_1 &= E_\omega\xi_{0,1} + \sum_{i\le -1} E_\omega U_{i,1}E_\omega\xi_{i,k} + \sum_{i\le -1} E_\omega(U_{i,1}+...+U_{i,L})E_\omega\tilde{\xi}_{i+1,k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_0+\sum_{l=1}^L\mu_0^l} + \sum_{i\le -1}\frac{\mathbf{e}_1M_0\cdots M_{i+1}\mathbf{e}_1^T}{\lambda_i+\sum_{l=1}^L\mu_i^l} + \sum_{i\le -1}\frac{\mathbf{e}_1M_0\cdots M_{i+1}\mathbf{1}}{\lambda_{i+1}+\sum_{l=1}^L\mu_{i+1}^l} \\ &= \sum_{i\le 0}\frac{1}{\lambda_i+\sum_{l=1}^L\mu_i^l}(\mathbf{e}_1M_0\cdots M_{i+1}\mathbf{e}_1^T + \mathbf{e}_1M_0\cdots M_i\mathbf{1}) \\ &= \sum_{i\le 0}\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\mathbf{e}_1M_0\cdots M_{i+1}\mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$$

where the empty product equals to identity. □

3.2 LLN-Proof of Theorem 3

Once the quenched mean of T_1 has been calculated, the proof of Theorem 3 follows basically as that in [11]. Let $\eta_n = T_n - T_{n-1}$ for $n \ge 1$. Then one follows from the stationarity of the environment that $(\eta_n)_{n\ge 1}$ is a stationary sequence of random variables. Let ν_n be the number of states to the left of n the process N_t has ever visited between T_n and T_{n+1}. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} P(\nu_n \ge n/L) &\le \lim_{n\to\infty} P(\theta^{T_n}N_t \le (L-1)N/L \text{ for some } t < \theta^{T_n}T_{n+1}) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} P(N_t \le -n/L \text{ for some } t < T_1) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from the stationarity of the environment and the last line follows since $P(T_1 < \infty) = 1$. For Borel set A, B we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} P(\eta_1 \in A, \eta_n \in B) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} P(\eta_1 \in A, \eta_n \in B, \nu_n < n/L) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} P(\eta_1 \in A)P(\eta_n \in B, \nu_n < n/L) = P(\eta_1 \in A)P(\eta_n \in B), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows because $\{\eta_1 \in A\} \in \sigma\{\omega_i : i \le 0\}$ whereas $\{\eta_n \in B, \nu_n \le n/L\} \in \sigma\{\omega_i : i \ge 0\}$. Thus we have shown that under probability P, $\eta_n, n \ge 1$ are stationary and mixing. Then an application of Birkhoff's ergodic theorem yields that P-a.s.,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{T_n}{n} = E(T_1) = \mathbb{E}S(\omega). \quad (10)$$

For $t > 0$, there is a unique integer-valued random number n_t such that $T_{n_t} \le t < T_{n_t+1}$. We have that

$$\frac{n_t - \nu_{n_t}}{T_{n_t+1}} \le \frac{N_t}{t} \le \frac{n_t+1}{T_{n_t}} \quad (11)$$

Suppose that $\mathbb{E}S(\omega) = \infty$. Then we have from (10) and (11) that P-a.s., $\limsup_{t\to\infty} N_t/t \le 0$. If $\gamma_L = 0$, then N_t is recurrent and $\lim_{t\to\infty} N_t/t = 0$. If $\gamma_L < 0$. Then N_t is transient to the right and $\liminf_{t\to\infty} N_t/t \ge 0$. We conclude that whenever $\mathbb{E}S(\omega) = \infty$, P-a.s., $\lim_{t\to\infty} N_t/t = 0$. Part (b) of the theorem is proved.

To prove part (a) of the theorem, suppose that $\mathbb{E}S(\omega) < \infty$ and define for $n \ge 0$, $\overline{T}_n = \inf\{k : \chi_k = n\}$. Similarly as (10), P-a.s., $\lim_{n\to\infty} \overline{T}_n/n$ exists and is finite. Since in every step, χ_n jumps at most a distance L to the left, $0 \le \nu_n \le L(\overline{T}_{n+1} - \overline{T}_n)$. Then we have that P-a.s.,

$$0 \le \lim_{n\to\infty} \nu_n/n \le \lim L(\overline{T}_{n+1} - \overline{T}_n)/n = 0. \tag{12}$$

Taking (10), (11) and (12) together, we have that P-a.s.,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{N_t}{t} = (ES(\omega))^{-1}.$$

Thus part (a) of the theorem is proved. □

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank Professor Wenming Hong for his useful comments on the paper.

References

[1] Bremont, J., On some random walks on Z in random medium, Ann. Probab. Vol. 30(3), pp 1266-1312, 2002

[2] Bremont, J., One-dimensional finite range random walk in random medium and invariant measure equation, Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Probab. Statist., Vol. 45, pp 70-103, 2009

[3] Chung, K.L. Markov chains with stationary transition probabilities, Springer-Verlag, 1960.

[4] Hong, W.M. and Wang, H.M., Intrinsic branching structure within (L-1) random walk in random environment and its applications, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top., Vol. 16, 1350006 [14 pages], 2013

[5] Hong, W.M., and Wang, H.M., Intrinsic branching structure within random walk on Z, To appear in Theory of Probability and Its Applications, 2014

[6] Hong, W.M. and Zhang, L., Branching structure for the transient (1; R)-random walk in random environment and its applications, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top., Vol. 13(4), pp 589-618, 2010

[7] Key, E.S., Recurrence and transience criteria for random walk in a random environment, Ann. Probab., Vol. 12, pp 529-560, 1984

[8] Letchikov, A. V., A criterion for linear drift and the central limit theorem for one-dimensional random walks in a random environment, Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math., Vol. 79(1), pp 73-92, 1994

[9] Letchikov, A. V., Localization of one-dimensional random walks in random environments, Sov. Sci. Rev. C. Math. Phys., Vol. 8, pp 173-220, 1989

[10] Oseledec, V.I., Multiplicative ergodic theorem. Characteristic Lyapunov exponents of dynamic systems, Trudy Moskovskogo Matemaricheskogo Obshchestva, pp 19, 179-210, 1968

[11] Ritter, G., A continuus-time analogue of random walk in a random environment, J. Appl. Probab. Vol. 17, pp 259-264, 1980